

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,129	05/09/2006	Rolf Berge	CU-4424 RJS	1333
26530 7590 10/28/2009 LADAS & PARRY LLP 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			SCHLIENTZ, NATHAN W	
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, II	.60604		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/550,129 BERGE, ROLF Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Nathan W. Schlientz 1616

 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Reply				
WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(g), in no event however, may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication or pay within the set or statemed period for reply with 0 years or statemed period for reply with 0 years or statemed period for reply with 0 years or statemed period (5, 6) 133), and will apply any statement of the order or the order of the order or the order of the order or the order order or the order of the order or				
Status					
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 May 2006</u> .				
	nis action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
cl	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	of Claims				
4)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>48-108</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	laim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□ C	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	laim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>48-108</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application	Papers				
	e specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)□ Tr	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	oplicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)∐ Tr	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of:				
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s					
1) Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				

Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure-Statement(e)-(PTO/SE/DB) Paper Nos/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:
S, Patent and Trademark Office	

Application/Control Number: 10/550,129 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 48-84, drawn to a method of prevention and/or treatment of a list of conditions comprising administering the claimed composition.

Group II, claims 85-100, drawn to a composition comprising a plant or fish oil, and a non β -oxidizable fatty acid entity.

Group III, claim(s) 101-108, drawn to a method of producing an animal based product comprising feeding an animal a feed comprising the claimed composition.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the feature common to Groups I-III is the composition comprising (1) plant oil and/or fish oil, and (2) one or more non β-oxidizable fatty acid entity. However, US 4,849,019 discloses compositions comprising oils, such as soybean oil, rapessed oil, palm oil, corn oil, cotton seed oil, coconut oil, palm kernel oil, rice oil, sesame oil, safflower oil, et., and phospholipids, such as phosphatidyl choline and phosphatidyl ethanolamine. Thus, the feature common to Groups I-III is not a special technical feature.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/550,129

Art Unit: 1616

A specifically disclosed condition, such as insulin resistance, obesity, diabetes, etc., and a non β-oxidizable fatty acid entity, such as tetradecylthioacetic acid, tetradecylselenoacetic acid, 3-thia-15-heptadecyne, phosphatidyl choline, phosphatidyl serine, etc.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to first elect one of the invention groups I, II or III, and then elect a single species (both a condition and a non β-oxidizable fatty acid entity if Group I is elected, but only a non β-oxidizable fatty acid entity if Group II or III is elected) to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 48-84 are drawn to a method of treating the conditions listed in claims 48-52. Claims 48-108 comprise a non β -oxidizable fatty acid entity.

The following claim(s) are generic: 48-108.

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or

Application/Control Number: 10/550,129

Art Unit: 1616

corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the conditions are separate conditions that are not necessarily treatable with the same medicaments (i.e., a drug that treats psoriasis may not be useful in the treatment of primary and secondary neoplasms), and the non β -oxidizable fatty acid entities were known in the art at the time of filing the instant application.

 A telephone call was made to Richard J. Streit on 19 October 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process Application/Control Number: 10/550,129

Art Unit: 1616

claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan W. Schlientz whose telephone number is 571-272-9924. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,129 Page 6

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NWS

/John Pak/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616